



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,006	03/28/2005	Shirou Sawa	2005_0232A	1756
513 7590 12/03/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				
EXAMINER THOMAS, TIMOTHY P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1614				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/03/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/525,006

Applicant(s)

SAWA ET AL.

Examiner

TIMOTHY P. THOMAS

Art Unit

1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TIMOTHY P. THOMAS.(3) Warren Cheek.(2) Ardin Marschel.(4) Naoko Kishida.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gamache, et al. (WO 01/15677 A2); Nolan, et al. ("The topical anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties of bromfenic in rodents; Agents and Actions; 1988 Aug; 25(1-2):77-85); Hellberg et al. (US 5,998,465).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Potential claim amendments were discussed that might potentially overcome the prior art-based rejections; potential designs of experimental studies were also discussed that might yield unexpected results to overcome the 103 rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Timothy P Thomas/
Examiner, Art Unit 1614